Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/583,792	LEE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 May 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (w for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 periods:	es: (1) an amendment, affidavit vith appeal fee) in compliance v	or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensio under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount c ned statutory period for reply origir	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee chally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	e with 37 CFR 41 37 must be f	iled within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but presented as a final rejection. 	ior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further conside (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better fo appeal; and/or	rm for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres	sponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Sometimes 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). 	·	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suff was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	ome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe The arguments were not persuasive (see below).	s NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/LESTER KINCAID/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

As described in the Final rejection, as the claims do not explcitly require that the second priorities are different from the first priorities, using the (or assigning the same) first priorities in the second priority controller performs the recited functions.